App. F. IX-4

1919-20
~~193~~ .
(P.P.)

File No. 146/19

Serial Nos. 14 to 18, 20 to 24, 31, 32, 38 to 41, 46, 49, 50.

Previous References
L.R. 259-10-1923
P.P. 27-23-1920.
Separate file no. 10 of 96 (Book VII)
Separate file no. 10 of 96 (Book II)
L.R. 201-04-1926.
Later References
UP 34-34-1932
Mily. 166-36, Sls. 1-11.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 14 to 18, 20 to 24, 31, 32, 38 to 41, 46, 49, 50.

Part B for March 1932

SUBJECT.

Boundaries of the Civil & Military Station Bangalore

G. R. S. III-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat.

K.V. File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No. 141

From

The Secy to the Resident
No 5253 dt 10/6/21
Forwarding a copy of a letter No 4941 dt 18/5/21 from the Presidents Municipal Commissioner, & requesting to be furnished with the views of the Durbar as to whether a piece of land road to the east is within the City limits or the Mysore territory

Submitted with a draft to the D.C. Bangalore.

2) Noted remarks
13/6

15 To the Dy Comr Bangalore
G 2444 1007 14/6/21
To copy of 14 for favour of a report.

3) Resubd for perusal
21/6/16

4) The main file is before the Dewan

Dewan)

Dewan

22.6.21

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUN 20 1921
Reg. No. 7850

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P. File No. 1616 of 1919.

Serial No. 16

From

The Dy Comr, Bangalore.

No C 1005 K1 9-7-21

Furnishing the report called for in Sl 15 in regard to the jurisdiction of a small bit of land adjoining S.F. 41/1 on the Tamneay Road.

Subd with refs and a drawg to the Residency.

After issue of the drawg the plan required by the Dy Comr will be traced and sent to him.

12/7 Chiefsy

Another Communication since recd from D.C. is also placed in the file (vide Sl No 2).

This does not affect the point 29/7

Mem may be approved (Sheet and the case in person with the D.C. The description of the boundaries within and the substantive portion of the notification. The letter must be taken as mine authoritative)

29/7

Dewan)

Draft approved by Dewan 1/8/21

1/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P. P. File No. 146 of 1919. 92

Serial No.

17 To

The Secretary to the Resident

No. Pol. 117 Dt 2-8-21.

With reference to Sl 16, forwards a copy of letter of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore noted in Sl 16, which shows that the bit of land referred to is not within the limits of C & M. Stn & the section of the 1906 plan of the station forwarded with ~~your~~ his memo, which has been retained by the D.C. will be returned ~~to him~~ as early as practicable

18 From

The Dy Comr Bangalore

No Dy Dis 23/17 Dt 21-21 Dt 10/8/21

Submitting a final report re the bit of land adjoining Sl 4 in C & M station stating that it is outside the limits of the C & M station.

Submit with a draft to the Dy Comr.

The Residency has already been informed that the land is not within the limits of the C & M station.

Y. Mm 14/8 Dept sending the plan & the

As promised

Mm 15/8

Draft issued as the Chief Secy was pleased to say that it was not necessary and a draft to the Residency may be sent after some time.

Y. 12/8

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. W. File No. 1186 of 1919.

Serial No.	
	Resubd with a draft to the Residency
	This has to be submitted to the Dewan who is said to have given personal instn. 2/ illegible 1919 Cheapest 19/9
	In approval Dewan/
	Draft approved by Dewan. 25.9.21.
20	To the Secy to the Resdt. No. 297 of 27.9.21 With reference to cont. ops. 17 forwards copy of 18 and states that the addition to the notification of 1903, will be made as suggested by Dc if Honble the Resident sees no objection.
21	From The Dy. Comr. Bangalore No. C 336 dt 26/9/21. Requesting to send the amended draft of the Notification as decided in 1903 Subd with a draft accordingly 91 is ascertained from the

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Rect. on SEP 24 1921
Reg. No. C986

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—30-4-15

J. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Y. P. File No. 146 of 1914.

Serial No.

Genl Records that no plans are available in the Secl but from the file relating to the issue of the Notification cited it is seen that 6 copies of the tracings were rec'd from the D.C. of which only one copy was sent to the Supdt Rev. Survey.

Y. [illegible]
4/10 Get a few copies made & supply

[illegible]
4/10

Draft put up.

Y. [illegible]
6/10

[illegible]
6/10

To

The Supdt Rev Survey
No 2002 A1 7/10/21

Requesting to send a copy of the plan to the D.C.

To

The Dy Comr, Bangalore
No 2002 A1 7/10/21

Copy of the above for information -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PP File No. 146 of 1919. 1920

Serial No.	
23	From The Supdt. Ider Survey No 98 dt 24/10/21 Stating that the plan reqd is not available. Subd with a draft to the D.C. Worthm 8/11 6/11
24	To The Dy Comr, Bangalore Distt. No. 2608/PP 146-Cy-24 Dy 11. 11. 1921. Forwards a copy of a letter refrd to in R. 23 in continuation. Draft of letter sent for Consideration Worthm 5/1 5/1
29	Reminder Issued No. Pol. 614 Dy 12. 1. 22
	From The Secy to the Resident No. 1344 Dy 7. 2. 1922. With reference to R. 20 supra states that the final settlement of the demarcation of the boundary in question is pending a joint inspection with the Dy Comr. Bangalore Distt &c &c

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | P.P. File No. 46 of 1919

Serial No.	
	Subd for perusal. Further reference will be awaited.
	2/1/22 8/2 8/2/22
	Remind on 15/3/22 8/2/22
31	From the Dy Comr. Bangalore. No. O.C. 2180 dy 22-10-21 22 With refree to Sl 18 supra, communicates the points agreed to by the C & Re. Station authorities in connection with the subject and the action to be taken as noted in the letter. Subd A communication from the Residency on the subject may perhaps be awaited. 2/1/22 26/1/22 cmcg Secy 9/5 9/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P P File No. 146 of 19 [illegible]

Serial No. 32

From

The Secy to the Resident

No 43143 dy 18 5 1922

With reference to Sl 20 supra states that the Resident has no objection to the issue of the proposed additions to para VI of the description of the boundaries of the C & M Station annexed to Notifn dy 13 4 1903 and requests to be furnished with two copies of the notifn

Recd 29/5

Subd with a draft to the Residency regarding the additions to the Notification suggested in para 5 of Dc's letter Sl 22/4/22 about which the reference is made in the Residency letter.

Y. [illegible]
4/6

Resident's Memo
26/6

Superintendent's Memo
8/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P.P. File No. 146 of 1919.

Serial No.	
38	To The Secy to the Resident No Pol 601 of 31/1/23 forwarding a copy of SL 31 and requesting to be informed whether the suggestion in para 5 thereof may also be adopted.
39	From The Secy to the Resident No 5025 of 29/6/23 stating that the addition suggested in para 5 of the 1st Govt's letter read to above ~~is~~ is accepted. Subd with a draft Notification and a draft letter to the D.C. Bangalore for approval. C. 17/9
	Issue the drafts as [illegible] 18.9
40	To the D.C. Bangalore &c. No 9 5019 dt 18.9.1923

NOTE—Contn. absent.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P. File No. 146 of 1919

Serial No.	
	Forwards for favour of remarks a copy of the draft of a notification proposed to be issued in the matter and requests that a replies may be sent through the Rev. commr Copies to the Rev. commr. no. 5020 dt 18.9.23 Subsequent reminder 8.10.1923. 8/10/23 Issued no. 5984 dt 11.10.1923
49	From the Rev Commr in Mysore C No 1177/23-24 dt 19.12.23. Forwards copy of a letter from the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist., and states that the President of the Station Munl Cl accepts the measurements taken by the Spl Surveyor but that the Collector wants a revised draft notifn in Subn to the Rendent There are two cases of ~~encroachment~~ change in the boundaries of the C & M Stn — one on the Tannery Road which has been dealt with in this file, and the other occasioned by the transfer of certain lands in Manavarthe Kaval, Byrasandra village, for purposes of the Hindu Burial Ground in the C & M Stn. In regard to the first, the Residency

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

has agreed to the alteration proposed and there is the draft of a notification modifying the boundaries accordingly.

In regard to the land in Manavarthe Kaval which question has been dealt with in the linked file, it was agreed that 10½ guntas out of Survey No. 6 should be transferred to the C & M Sts and 2 guntas of land out of Survey no 17 retransferred to the Durbar. The Rev Dept issued the necessary notification in Aug 1922 relinquishing the two guntas and forwarded an amended schedule of the boundaries of the C & M Sts. This was referred back to the Rev Comr for verification and some discrepancies were noticed by the Rev. Authorities. A joint inspection of the boundary by the Rev Officers of the Dist and the Station was found to be necessary and was accordingly ordered (vide Corresp^ce ending with this Office letter dy 23-11-1922 in the linked file).

Further Corresp^ce in this matter is forthcoming in this file, commencing with our letter dy 18-9-23 to the Dy Comr and it is now reported that the President of

Ref T in file no 261-15 linked

N in the linked file

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

PP File No. 146 of 1919-20

Serial No.

Case under disposal

The Station Municipal Commission accepts the measurement taken by the Surveyor and that the Collector wants a revised draft notification pursuant to the Resolution.

The D.C. is already in possession of two draft notifications prepared in this office in the matter and also of the annexed schedule of the boundary, received from the C.T.M.S. Collector. The discrepancies pointed out by the Surveyor have been mentioned in the Rev. Comr's letter of 19th Sept. 1922 in the locked file. The Extent of land to be assigned is said to be 8½ guntas and not 10½.

Flag N in the locked file

The point to be decided now is if the Rev. Comr. may be asked to return the two draft notifications & the schedule, duly annexed in the light of what the Surveyor has furnished; so that we might obtain the assent of the Rev. Govt. or if the D.C. may be authorised to issue the same to the Collector, C.T.M.S., for the latter to obtain the Govt. assent. The former course seems desirable and if approved, the Rev. Comr. will be addressed accordingly.

Yes

19.1.24

Coats 11/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

P. P. File No. 146 of 1919-20

Serial No.

Send with a draft

Sd. 11.1.24

Sent 11/1

50 36 The Revenue Commissioner

No G 10663 dt 12-1-1924

Invites attention to this office

No G 1024 dt 2 Sep 22 forwarding 2 tbl

2 notifications as also returned tbl

2 an amended Schedule 2 the boundaries

2 the administration as requests him

to have the same amended in the light

2 the Special Surveyor's Measurements

Sent A/ 19.1.24

Recd from Dewan Sahib to Dept. in PP. 3/5/19

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Pol 1830 Encl 2 — PP 146-19-14

MYSORE RESIDENT'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, 10th June 1921.

No. 5253
75-1920.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. P VP 10 JUN 1921

SECRETARIAT MYSORE POLITICAL BRANCH. 10 JUN 1921

28240

Return requested.

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, with enclosure, is forwarded with compliments, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, in continuation of letter from this office No.4034, dated 27th April 1921, with the request that the Resident may kindly be favoured with the views of the Durban in the matter.

No. 494 dated 18th May 1921, from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore.

Subd? The file connected with this having been subd on 29-5-21 is before the Durbar

Telephone desk for the return of the file so that the matter may be disposed of. Reminder with a pencil note on front sheet when file is required

Secretary to the Resident.
~~First Assistant Resident~~

BJ

O. 178.—R. P. B.—8-1921.—3,000.

Letter No. 4941, dated the 18th May 1921, from the President, Municipal Commission, Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

---:0:---

I have the honour to enclose a section of the 1906 plan of the Station and to invite attention to the small patch indicated in red which, so far as the plan goes, would appear to be outside the limits of the Station. In fact, in addressing you in my No.494 dated the 11th April 1921 on the subject of control over a zone beyond our limits, I assumed that it was without our limits. But if you refer to the description of the boundary given in para VI of Dewan's notification dated 27-5-03, you will see that the land is inside our limits. The point is of importance because the Commission desires to improve the road and we must be certain that we have jurisdiction The Commission also desires to take action against a man who has built without sanction on the doubtful plot and further to build ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ pottery kilns there. I should be glad if you would make the matter clear as I do not want to assert jurisdiction after the Durbar has been given to understand that we regarded the plot as Mysore territory.

---:0:---

"C O P Y"

REGISTRAR, RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

BJ

Diarised and

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

No. G 2440 / DP 146-9-15 Enclosures

Dated, Bangalore 14/6/21 192

A copy of the marginally noted letter (to be carefully returned) with enclosures is forwarded with compliments to the Dy Comr Bangalore for information with reference to his letter No C 1005 of 64-21 in favour of a report as to whether the land referred to is within the limits of the Civil Station

By Order of the Mysore Government

for

For Chief Secretary to Government.

No 1491 dated 18/6/21
From the President Civil Station

to the file
(Bangalore)

A403

1010

CB 92.

9/7/21

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN & REV. SECTT.
CB cld
9 - JUL 1921

No. C 1005/20-21. L 7.
Dated. 9th July 1921. Enclosure : one.

Furnishes certain particulars re a small bit of land adjoining S. B. 4/1 on the Tannery Road as to its being within the limits of Civil and Military Station or the Mysore Terri Corp.

47 C 1005/11 6-11

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 8th July 1921.

P.P. 146-19-16.

From,

M.A.Narayanayangar Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

K.Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum No. G.2441O/PP.146-19-15 dated the 14th June 1921 with its enclosure enquiring whether a small bit of land adjoining S.B.4/1 on the Tannery Road, is within the limits of the Civil and Military Station, or the Mysore Territory.

2. The Sub-Division Officer, Bangalore Taluk who was requested on 16th June 1921 to inspect the place and submit a report (copy enclosed) inspected the spot on the 20th and 21st June 1921, and was of opinion that that bit of the land was S.No.22 of the Kayamgutta Devarajeebanahalli in the enjoyment Kayamguttadar Mr.Subba Pandit, and therefore was excluded from the limits of the Civil and Military Station. There was a preliminary inspection of the spot by me on the 28th June 1921, and subsequently

subsequently, I arranged for a joint inspection of the place on the 6t instant with Mr. Tasker, Collector, Civil and Military Station. The Sub Division Officer, Bangalore Taluk, the Jodidar of Kayamgutta Devarajee-banahalli and other village officers were also present. We inspected together the boundary from S.B.4 to S.B.5 and the plot of ground now in dispute. I also drew the attention of the Collector, Civil and Mil tary Station to, (1) Notification No.56 dated 17th May 1881, (2) Notifi-cation No.234 dated 14th September 1883, (3) Notification No.1195 date 22nd September 1888, (4) Notification No.1042 4 Mis 1454 dated 11th Mar 1896 and (5) Notification No.14826/G.F.10-96 dated 13th April 1903 which were the only Notifications relating to the boundary from 1881 up to date. I pointed out to the Collector, that Cl.(ii) of para 1 of Notification No.14826/G.F.10-96 dated 13th April 1903 which was the preamble to the boundary, clearly indicated that the patch of ground had not been ceded to the Civil and Military Station, and hence the description of para (vi) of the Notification was incorrect and re-quired to be modified. The Collector appeared to agree in this view but the spot inspection has raised a few more discrepancies between the demarcation on the land and the verbal description of the bound-ary Notification. I am investigating these discrepancies along with the Collector as desired by him and shall send a further report as soon as this investigation is completed in the course of about a month.

3. In this connection I request you to kindly send me a co

-- of the plan of the Civil and Military Station limits as decided in Notification No.14886/G.F.10-96 of 1903.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Narayanaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

mjs

Letter No:Dis.C.1472 of 1920-1921 dated the 24th June 1921 from the Personal Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

With reference to memo No.G.2440/P.P.146-19-15 dated 14th June 1921 from the Chief Secretary to Government to you and forwarded to me for inspecting the small patch in question indicated in red and submitting a report, I have the honor to submit that I inspected the small patch marked in red with reference to the enclosed Section of 1906 plan on the 20th instant. I also inspected the area on the 21st instant with the Kayamguttadar Mr. Subba Pandit of Devarajeebanahalli village with reference to the Survey plan of 1890. A careful examination of the place in question and its measurement satisfied me that the small patch marked in red corresponds in area and shape with Survey No.22 of the Devarajeebanahalli village. This portion is in the enjoyment of the Guttadar who appears to have leased the same to his tenants. A sketch showing the Survey No.22 of Kayamagutta Devarajeebanahalli, Kasaba hobli is herein enclosed for reference.

2. I am therefore of opinion that the patch in question is excluded from the limits of the Civil and Military Station.

3. The references are herewith returned.

"C O P Y"

M A [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

mas

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District,

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government

of Mysore, Bangalore.

GEN & REV. SE

11 JUL 1921

Dated, 9th July 1921.

No. L.Dis C 1005/20-21. Enclosures: Two

Submits for the information of Government a copy of letter No 2258 dated 5th/8th July 1921 from the Collector, Civil and Military Station and his reply thereto re boundary dispute between the limits of Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and the Mysore Territory, near the Tannery Road

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 9th July 1921.

CB 117.

From,

M.A.Narayanayangar Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

K.Matthan Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.1085/L.R. dated the 5th instant, re the boundary dispute between the limits of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore and the Mysore Territory near the Tannery Road, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government, a copy of letter No.2258 dated 5th/8th July 1921 from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, and my reply thereto.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M.A. Narayanayangar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

M/s

Copy of D.O. No.2258 dated 5/8th July 1921 from Mr.T.J.Tasker,

Collector, Civil and Military Station,
Bangalore.

STATION BOUNDARY NEAR TANNERY ROAD.

I write to put on record the points raised during our joint inspection this morning.

(i) It was agreed that the boundary from S.B.3 to S.B. 4 and thence to S.B.4/1 and S.B. 4/2 should be laid down. The practical difficulties making this desirable being (a) that the Municipal Commission wishes to sell land west of Richards Town and to train the large nullah, (b) that the house on the boundary between S.B.4 and 4/1 is under dispute, the Commission having been threatened with a suit for prosecuting a man who stored bones in it, (c) that the Commission wishes to widen Tannery road up to the boundary and to know the eastern limit of the road. I think you gave 22' as the margin available beyond the road edg or ditch.

(ii) It appeared likely from the village plan that the field immediately across the road from S.B.4/1 did not belong to Station limits and had been omitted by error from the boundary desription of 1903, being an enclave. You said you would consult pa maps previous to that of 1890. I suggested that it would be well if the Darbar could acquire the land at Station expense and cede jurisdiction.

(iii) You suggested that stones S.B.4/3, 4/4, 4/6 and 4/7 might now be removed and 4/3 moved to the large P.W.D., boundary mark. I promised to look

look up the history of this piece of land which seemed connected with a rubbish depot delivered back in 1898.

(iv) It was agreed that you should depute a Surveyor to work with one deputed by me and that after they had laid down a boundary we should again inspect jointly.

(v) I promised to look up the Dodkunte plan.

----------oooo------

"COPY"

M.A. Margenroth

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MAO

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore, 9th July 1921.

Dear Mr.Tasker,

I have received your D.O.No.2258 dated 5th/8th July 1921. I believe the inspection was on the 6th morning.

From a careful study of the question of road margins, I have ascertained that in this case it is 20' from the outer edge of the ditch(vide, para 1 of Mysore Government Notification No.73 dated the 19th July 1882, published on pages 138-139 of the Mysore Gazette dated the 22nd July 1882.

As regards your observation in para 2 of your letter, I shall consult the maps previous to the year 1890 and study the case once again on the spot. The question of the Durbar acquiring the land at the expense of the Civil and Military Station, and ceding jurisdiction may be considered after you have fully accepted the fact of the error in the boundary discription of 1903, including survey No.22 of Devarajeebanahalli by mistake.

I made the suggestion in para 3 as it seemed a very small matter which must simplify the demarcation and assist you to set it off against a portion of survey No.22 or the road margin you may require, especially as you had removed your old Police station from there.

I have deputed my District Surveyor to lay down the boundary from S.B.3 to S.B.4/2 with any officer deputed by you. You may kindly make the Dodkunte village map available to these officers, in order that they demarcate the boundary.

With these remarks, I accept your record of the points raised during the joint inspection of the boundary near the Tannery road on the 6th instant, and shall gladly meet you again for the next inspection when it gets ready.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/- M.A.Narayana Iyengar,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

"COPY"

M.A. Narayana Iyengar.
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——

Section

File No. 146-19

Serial No. 17

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

No. Encl

, *the* *191* .

To

Date of issue 2 August 1921

Signed by Jm. K. Mackay

With ref: to your
Memo No 5253/75-1920 dt
the 10th June 1921, calling
for the views of the
Durbar as to whether
a small bit of land
adjoining S.B. 411 on the
Tamarai Road, is within
the limits of the C.A. &
Station or the Mysore

over.

Territory, I am directed
to forward herewith
for information a copy
of letter No C1005/20-21
of 9th July 1921 from the
Dy Comr, Bangalore Distt,
which shows that the
bit of land refd to is
not within the limits
of the C & M Station.

The section of the 1906
plan of the Station forwarded
with your memo under
reply has been returned
by the Dy Comr for reference
and will be returned
to you when no longer
required as early as practicable.

Please see
the
Chief Secy

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

05888

GEN & REV. SEC.
12 AUG 1921

CB 495

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the Government

Of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated: 10th August 1921.

D2 Mis 8C 23/21-22
En 1 Plan

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 10th August 1921.

From,

M.A.Narayanayangar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To,

K.Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of his highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.C.1005 of 1920-1921 dated the 9th July 1921 reporting on the small bit of land adjoining S.No.4/1 on the Tannery Road in Civil and Military Station, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government a few additional particulars in connection with the present position of the question.

2. The demarcation survey of the Kayangutta Devarajeebanahalli, is ascertained to have been effected in 1869 and the detailed Survey in 1890, the present village map having been prepared also in the latter year. The out line of demarcation effected in 1869 shows the inclusion in it of the portion now under dispute, the configuration of which being on all fours with S.No.22 of the village as per detailed survey of 1890. According to the latter, the length of the boundaries of S.No.22 are as follows:-

East boundary...	North to South	115½ Yds
West "	-do-	118¼ Yds
North "	East to West	34
South "	-do-	55

The extent of S.No.22 as per detailed survey is A-G 1-2.

3. Para XXVIII of the description of the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station annexed to the Notification of 1881 as well as the corresponding portion of the Notification of 1903, which mention the various Revenue villages included within the limits of the Civil and Military Station

MSN

do not refer to any portion of the Khayamgutta Village of Devarajeebanahalli being included within the limits of that Station. From para 1(ii) of the Schedule accompanying the Notification No.14826 G.F.10-96 dated the 13th April 1903, it is evident that that portion of S.No.22 near the Tannary Road now under dispute had not been added to the Station. But from a perusal of Para VI of the description of the boundary annexed to the same Notification, one is apt to infer that S.No.22 had been also included in the area assigned to the Station, on account of the absence of a specific mention of its exclusion. This, however is a mistake which has to be corrected.

4. I therefore suggest the following addition to para VI of the Notification of 1903 "P.S. In this area, an enclave of 1 Acre and 2 Guntas is outside the Civil and Military Station. This enclave is bounded on the East by the road from Contonment to Nagavara to a length of 115½ Yds, on the West, by the burial ground of Civil and Military Station to a length of 118¾ Yds, on the North, the compound wall of the Ediga to a length of 34 Yds on the South, by the Civil and Military boundary to a length of 55 Yds.".

5. The President may also be kindly informed that this land is in Mysore Territory, and outside the limits of the Civil and Military Station, and that the description of the boundary in para VI of the Notification of 1903 will be suitably modified in consultation with the Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore.

6. The enclosure received with your memorandum No.G.24410/PP 146-19-15 dated the 14th June 1921 is herewith returned.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M A Narasimhaiah.

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MAN

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No. 1469

Serial No. 20

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

No. 1 of 29 Encl 2

, the 191 ..

To

Date of issue 27th Sept 1921

Signed by Jm. K. Matthias

Resident

in continuation

of his office letter

of even No. dated the 23rd August

1921 forwarding the Jurisdiction

over a small bit of

land adjoining S.B. 414 on

the Tanneny Road, I am

directed to forward

herewith a copy of

over.

Letter No D.2. Dis 23/4.7.21-22 (only paras 1 to 4 included)
of the 10th August 1921
from the Dy Comr, Bangalore,
furnishing further particulars
to show that the land in
question is not within the
limits of the C & M. Station
and to state that in the
circumstances explained
therein the addition to
the Notification of 1903 as
suggested by the Dy Comr
will be made if the
Honble the Resident sees
no objection.

The Secretary of the 1906 Notification to the
Station Seal with your Memo No 5253 M 10/6/21
is also returned herewith.

Dharwar
25.9.21

for
Chief Secy

19/9 Copied by
19/9/21 Examined by

Copy

The previous reference
said to have been
sent has not been
received in this
Branch & may
kindly be stated
as to where & when
was sent.

Yes
VRA

No such reference can be available
in this branch.

CB

28.9.11
P 7.10

This letter was sent to
L.D. but they returned it
saying that it was not theirs
hence it is now sent to
the C.B. where the reference
is probably dealt with.

Exploitation—Col
Colo
The
In c
Cas
This
Eve

2	3	4
	19 9 21	Date of receipt in Secretariat
n whom ceived		

27/11

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, 26th September 1921.

P.P. 146 of 9-24.

CB 11/20

From,

M.A. Narayanayangar, Esqr., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore, District.

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT
L.1924
27 SEP 1921
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH.

To,

K. Matthan, Esquire., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of His
Highnese the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite kind reference to the concluding portion of my letter No.C.1005 of 20-21/L.F. dated the 9th July 1921 re the plan of the Civil and Military Station limits as decided in 1903 and to request that you will be so good as to kindly send me the same early, as it is required for a joint inspection of some of the Boundary stones, with the Collector Civil and Military Station.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M.A. Narayanayangar

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

MAO

G. R. S. VI—G/32}

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section 76

File No. 146-9

Serial No 22

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

No. 2001/pp

Date of issue 7/10/29

To

The Sundt Rev. Survey

Sir

Dated 4th inst 10/4 Comm

Bangalore Dist for

for references

I a Copy of the plan of

the C & M. Station limits

as decided in 1903 a

Copy of which was

forwarded to this office No G. 85-5/97

10-96 dt the 11th August-

1903 I am to request-

over.

You to kindly send
him a copy of the
plan or tracing
as early as possible.
9 Nov 21

for Chief Secy

Copy to D.C. Bangalore
for information with ref:
to his letter No C 536/21-22 dt
dt 26-4-21.

AE

for Chief Secy

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

13077

CB 1395
P.P. 146-C-23

No. 98: Encl. map

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore 24th October 1921.

From

D. K. Darashah, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Revenue Survey and
Inam Settlements in Mysore.

CB
PP
25/10/21

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Offg. Chief Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2001/P.P.146-19, dated 7th October 1921 in the matter of the supply, to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District and the Secretariat, of copies of the plan forwarded to the Survey Department with docket No.G.855/G.F.10-96, dated 11-8-1903, I have the honor to state that on receipt of the map in this office in 1903, it was sent to the officer then in charge of the Survey Head Quarters Office on whose requisition it was obtained from the Secretariat. The map is not forthcoming although a careful search was made for it there as well as in my office. In connection with the fixing of the boundary line between the State territory and the area occupied by the Residency, a reference was made to Government requesting that the Secretariat copy of the said map may be sent to me for perusal. but a reply was received from Secretary in his letter No.G.235 (Mily) dated 13th April 1920 that it might be obtained direct from the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District. On a reference being made to the Deputy Commissioner, he wrote back to say that it was not forthcoming in his office. As the original map was prepared in the Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's office and as 6 copies of it are said to have been furnished to Government by the Deputy Commissioner, the original or a spare copy may, I think, be found in the District Office if a careful search is made again in that office. An unauthenticated copy

of

of the map found [illegible] in the course of search in the Survey Records Office is forwarded herewith for perusal and obliging return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Superintendent.

LSR.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Dated, Bangalore 11/11/ 1921.

No. 2608 /PP 146-Cy. 24.

Encl 1 Sketch

A copy of the marginally noted Letter with enclosures is forwarded with compliments to the Dy Comr Bangalore for information. re Communication of this Office No 2002/P.P. 146-Cy dt 7-10-21.

No 98 dated 24/10/21
From The Supdt Govt Survey

By Order,

For Chief Secretary to Government.

7/11/21

A403

Pol 614

12th January 1922

Major C. T. C. Plowden, I.A.,

Secretary to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

B a n g a l o r e.

I am directed to invite your kind reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No. Pol.297 dated the 27th September 1921 regarding the addition to be made to para 6 of the Notification of 1903 relating to the boundary of the Civil and Military Station and to request the favour of an early reply thereto.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.

MYSORE RESIDENT'S OFFICE,

Bangalore, 7th February 1922.

PP. 146-19-41
CB 3148

CB No. 344 Encl
FEB 19
P.P. 9/2/22

A copy of the marginally* noted letter, ~~with enclosure,~~ is forwarded, with compliments, to the Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore for information with reference to his letter No. Pol 614, dated the 12th January 1922.

R.O.C.No.112/a1/22 dated 27th January 1922, from the Collector Civil and Military Station, Bangalore.

P.146 - 19

Secretary to the Resident.
~~First Assistant Resident.~~
WB

CVK.

R. O. 178.—R. P. B.—3-1921.—3,000.

Letter No.112/ac/22, dated the 27th January 1922, from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Resident in Mysore, Bangalore.

---: 0:---

Station western boundary Demarcation of -your endorsement No.8980 dated 14th October 1921 and subsequent reminder No.817 dated 21st January 1922.

I have the honour to state that the final settlement of the demarcation of the boundary in question is pending a joint inspection with the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District. As this is a matter which may go on for some months, I prefer not to deal with it myself but to leave it to Mr. Austin who will in any case have to go into this important matter. I shall, however, go over the ground with him when he arrives in Bangalore.

Civil
Bang

"C O P Y"

REGISTRAR RESIDENT'S office.

Office of the Deputy Commissaoner

Bangalore District

Dated Bangalore, 22nd April 1922

No. NO OC 2180 pt-II Enc 2

From

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

PP 1146-19-31

CB 24071

513/A

To

The Hon'ble Mr. K.Matthan, Esq., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter No.D.2 Dis.23 of 1921-22 dated 10th August 1921 regarding the Civil and Military Station boundary on the Tannery road, I have the honor to state the following particulars.

In accordance with the arrangement referred to in para 6 of my predecessor's letter of 8th July 1921 to the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Mr.Tasker, (copy of which was sent with this office letter referred to above) Mr.Austin, the present Collector, and myself jointly inspected the locality again on the 3rd instant, and the results are indicated in the Notes that have passed between us, as per copies enclosed herein.

The points agreed to so far in connection with this subject and the action to be taken are as indicated below:

(1) There is no dispute about the boundary from SB 3 to SB 4.

(2) The boundary now laid down on the ground from SB 4 to SB 4/1 is accepted with one reservation regarding the position of SB 4/1. This reservation refers to leaving SB 4/1 where it is now, without prejudice to the Kayangutta Devarjeebanahalli land (Survey No.21) extending to 54 ft. - 9 inches beyond it.

(3) Survey No.19 of the said village to continue in the State limits and excluded from the Civil and Military Station limits.

7.1. Mr.Austin's D.O.No.1021 dated 7th April 1922.
2. My reply No.O.C.2180 dated 15th April 1922.

(4). Exclusion of Survey No.22 of Devarjeebanahalli from the Civil and Military Station limits and its retention in the State limits is accepted. The addition to para 6 of the Notification of 1903 suggested in this office letter of the 30th July 1921 as quoted in the margin to be given effect to.

"An enclave of 1 acre and 2 guntas is outside the Civil and Military Station. This enclave is bounded on the East, by the road from the Cantonment to Nagavara to a length of 115½ yds, on the West, by the burial ground of Civil and Military Station to a length of 118¾ yards, on the North, the compound wall of the Ediga to a length of 34 yds, and on the South, by the Civil and Military Station boundary to a length of 55 yds".

(5). The fact of the jurisdiction over the road passing through Survey No.19 alluded to in No.3 being vested in the Civil and Military Station, may be suitably incorporated in the Notification of 1903. The said note may be to the effect as indicated below.

(6). VI Leaving Station Boundary stone 4, the line runs south as far as the south-east corner stone of survey No.19 of Devarjeebanahalli, traverses the southern and western boundaries of the same survey No., joins the south-east corner stone of survey No.20 of the same village, turns west, proceeds along the southern boundaries of survey Nos.20 and 21 and joins the east of the Tannery Road at a point 54 feet - 9 inches to the south of SB 4/1. (The road connecting Richards Town and Tannery Road passing through survey No.19 which has been acquired and paid for by the Civil and Military Station, to remain in the jurisdiction of the Civil and Military Station.) From this point etc.....

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Office of the Collector,
Civil and Military Station,

D,O,No.1021 Bangalore, dated 7th April 1922.

Dear Mr.Ramaswamiah,

Station Boundary near Tannery Road.

I write in order that the points raised during our inspection on the 3rd morning may be put on record.

1. There was no dispute about the boundary from S.B.3 to S.B.4. I was prepared to accept, with one reservation, the boundary as laid down on the ground from S.B.4 to S.B.4, whereby S.No.19 comes within State limits.

2. The Commission has acquired and paid for land for a road connecting Richards Town and Tannery Road and passing through S.No.19 You thought there would be no difficulty about our retaining jurisdiction over this road.

3. The one reservation I wished to make was with regard to the position of S.B.4 I, which it is proposed to move 54' 9" to the South. I suggested that this stone might be left where it was, as, if it were removed to the proposed site, the boundary line would cut two or three houses. These houses do not look very new and all the land that the Kayamguttadar would lose would be what is now a public pathway, over which a right of way has been probably established. Also S.B.4 I is now in the position it was in when the latest Notification of 1903 was issued. You appeared to agree with my suggestion, which I made without raising any doubt as to the accuracy of your survey.

4. I accepted your contention that survey No.22 is not within Station limits. I shall write officially to the Resident and say I have no objection to the Durbar's suggested addition to para VI of the Notification of 1903 on this point.

5. I will write again about Mr.Narayana Iyengar's suggestion to remove stones S.B.4/3, 4/4, 4/6 and 4/7(alluded to in Mr.Tasker's

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Camp Anekal, 15th April 1922.

Dear Mr.Austin,

I am in receipt of your D.O.letter No.1021 dated 7th instant, re the Station Boundary near Tannery Road, and write to say in reply that the points put on record correctly represent the result of our joint inspection on the morning of the 3rd instant.

Point No.2 regarding the road passing through survey No.19, I said there would be no difficulty about the Civil and Military Station retaining jurisdiction as there were many analogus cases, eg, the Tannery itself.

Next, with regard to point No.3 I held that there was no objection to stone 4/1 remaining in its present position on the analogy of similar stones elsewhere. The Kayamgutta village lands however would go on to 54 ft. - 9 inches beyond this stone.

Lastly, regarding the suggestion in para 6 about survey No.19, I will address Government on the lines of the understanding arrived at.

I am sorry for the delay in sending this reply, which was due to my having been out in camp etc.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/- B.Ramaswamaiya,

"C O P Y"

B. Ramaswamaiya

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P.P.146-09-32 CB 4372

C. O. 45.—R. P. B.—8-1921.—5,000.

No. 1342 Enclos. — Dated Bangalore, 18th May, 1922.
75-1920.

P.P. 146-09
PM 1491
19/5/22

From

Major C. T. C. Plowden, I.A.,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Reference ~~my~~ his ~~letter~~ telegram correspondence ending with No.Pol.614, dated the 12th January 1922.

Subject:—

REVENUE SECTION
CB
19/5/22
19 MAY 1922

S. No.22 K. G. Devarajeevanahalli.

The Resident has no objection to the issue of the proposed addition to Paragraph VI of the description of the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station annexed to Notification No.14826 G.F.10-9[illegible], dated the 13th April 1903, of the Government of Mysore.

2. It is requested that 100 copies of the Notification may kindly be furnished to this Residency.

for Secretary to the Resident.

AYR

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section VII.

File No. 146-19

Serial No 38

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

No. 1601 Genl

Date of issue 3rd January 1923

Signed by Mr B. Venkobachi

The Secy to the Resident

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 4343/25-1920 of the 18th May 1922, regarding the proposed addition to paragraph VI of the description of the boundary of the Cr. Station

over.

annexed Notification No
4926 | Q.S.

I am directed
to forward herewith a
copy of letter No D.C. 2180/21-22
of the 20th/22nd April 1922
from the Dy Commissioner
Salem and to request to
be kindly informed whether
the addition of the Notification
applied, regarding the jurisdiction
over the road passing through
Survey No 19 of Devarjeebanahalli
being vested in the Co. Bd.
Station, suggested in the para 5
thereof may also be made
Notification of 1903.

Yours etc

Chief Secy

Y.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

C. O. 45.—R. P. B.—8-1921.—5,000

No. 2075 Enclos. 1666/26/23 Dated Bangalore, 29th June 1923

75-1920

FW 5635 PP 38 146-19-39

From

A. N. L. Cater, Esq., I.C.S.,

SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

To 30 JUN 1923

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Reference ~~my~~ his ~~letter~~ telegram No.Pol.601, dated the 3rd January 1923.

Subject:—

Description of the boundaries of the Civil & Military Station, annexed to Notification No.14826/G.F.10-96, dated the 13th April 1903, of the Government of Mysore.

The addition suggested in No.(5) of the Deputy Commissioner's letter No. O.C.2180/21-22, dated the 20th/22nd April 1922, of which a copy was enclosed with the above, is accepted.

~~I~~ SECRETARY TO THE RESIDENT.

BJ

2 0/15 G.5019 & 1894/23
Encl.
P.P. 146-19-40

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

To

The Dy Comr.
Bangalore Dist.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. C.C. 2180/21-22 dated the 22nd April 1922, regarding the C & R relation Londay on the Tannery Road, I am directed to forward herewith for favour of remarks a copy of the draft of a Notification proposed to be issued in the matter and to request that your reply on the subject may be sent through the Dy. Comr. as they

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7-20

2. In this connection I am to invite your attention to the correspondence ending with your letter No H.C. 238/17-18 dated the 11th September 1922 addressed to the Rev Court and subsequent correspondence ending with this office ~~No~~ letter No G. 4120 dated the 31st August 1923 addressed to that officer and to request that the report therein called for may also be kindly furnished as early as possible so that one consolidated Notification may be issued in both the cases.

I have &c

G. 5020 Ext. 18.9.23 18.9.23

for Chief Secy

Copy of the above, is forwarded, with Compliments, to the Rev Court in Mysore.

18.9.23

Notification.

In para VI of the schedule appended to Government Notification No.14826/G.F.38-96 dated the 13th April 1903 which was republished with corrections in Notification No.17525, G.F.38-96 dated the 13th June 1903, describing the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station of Bangalore, the following addition and alterations will be made :-

(i) For the first sentence in this para substitute " Leaving Station Boundary stone 4, the line runs south as far as the south-east corner stone of survey No.19 of Devarjeebanahalli traverses the southern and western boundaries of the same survey No. , joins the south-east corner stone - -of survey No.20 of the same villag turns west, proceeds along the -- southern boundaries of survey Nos.2 and 21 and joins the east of the - Tannery Road at a point 54 feet - 9 inches to the south of SB 4/1."

Add at the end of the existing para 4

(ii) ~~Between the above and the existing second sentence insert~~ "In this -- area an enclave of 1 acre and 2 - guntas is outside the Civil and -- Military Station. This enclave is bounded on the East, by the road - from the Cantonment to Nagavara to a length of ~~xxxx~~ 115½ yards, on th west, by the burial ground of Civi and Military Station to a length o 118¾ yards, on the north, the --- compound wall of the Ediga to a - length of 34 yards, and on the -- South, by the Civil and Military - Station boundary to a length of - 55 yards".

18.9.25.

Dewan of Mysore.

To

19/9/25

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in the Gazette and supply of 100 spare copies.

12761 &2342

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C. No 1177/Gl 23-24 Bangalore 6th October 1923

P.P. 146-19-41

FROM

K. Chandy, Esq, B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

To

The Chief *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.5019/P.P. dated 18th September 1923 to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District forwarding for remarks a copy of the draft notification showing the Civil and Military Station Boundary on the Tannery Road I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore reports in his letter No.O.C.2180 of 1921-22 dated 25th September 1923 that the draft notification regarding the boundary on the Tannery Road is in accordance with his(Bangalore Deputy Commissioner's) letter O.C.2180/1921-22 dated 22nd April 1922 and may be issued.

2. As regards the draft notification in respect of the boundary in Byappanahalli Manevarthekaval Bangalore Taluk referred to in para 2 of your letter the Deputy Commissioner submitted his report in his letter No.H.C.233/1917-18 dated 8/11th June 1923 but was requested by this office on 14/18th June 1923 to get the approval of the Collector Civil and Military Station to certain measurements recorded by the Special Surveyor deputed by the Survey Department in the matter. The Deputy Commissioner now reports that the Collector's reply approving of the measurements is awaited

R. C. 4.

FROM

The *Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.*

To

Chief

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~*Department.*~~

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Forwards draft Notification re the Civil and Military Station Bowdaz on the Tannery Bad Road.

No further report promised has not yet been recd.

Subl with a draft reminding.

26/10/23

Issue reminder as I want to go through the file

27.10

Issued no 9 Bcy L.
dt 29.10.23.

Result to be awaited as directed above.

30/10/23

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

G 5984
P P

11/10/23

To

The D. C. Bangalore

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No G 5019 of the 18th September 1923, regarding the C and M. Station boundary on the Jammers Road and to request the favour of a very early reply.

I have etc.

for Ch. Sec. to Govt

R. S. VI—G/321

SUBJECT: ..... Main .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Date of issue 29/10/23

Signed by Mr K.V.A

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 96674

To

The Rev Comdt Mr Mynne

Sir,

I will refer to your letter C no. 1177/Gl 23-24 dated the 6th Oct 1923 I am directed to enquire if the D.G. comes further report in respect of the boundary regarding Byappanahalli Maneva the Kaval, Bangalore T.K, referred to in para 2 of your letter has been received and if not, to request you to obtain the same and forward to Govt at an early date.

over. Thereafter

for Chief Secy

26/10/23

WD 0632-GPB—18000—16-8 23

Rev 2890

14981

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 5th November 1923.

No. O.C. 2180 of 21-22

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

K. Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

REVENUE SECTT. Bangalore 8 NOV 1923 D. SECTION

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.G.5984 P.P. dated 11th October 1923 inviting attention to your office letter No.G.5019 dated 18th September 1923 regarding the Civil and Military Station Boundary on the Tannery Road, I have the honor to state that a reply has been sent to the Revenue Commissioner with this office No.O.C.2180 of 1921-22 dated 25th September 1923 as directed in your letter referred to above

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

P.P. 146-19-46

6

The report regarding the boundary on the Tannery Road has been rec'd but Cantt. report is due. Sub with a draft reminding the Rev. Comr of the same.

15/11/23

Issued

Issued No G 8036 dt 14.11.1923

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore.

Dated Rec: 28 instant

Despatched 5th November 1923

Received

General No. _____
No.

Enclosure No

States that a reply has been sent to the Revenue Commissioner on 25.9.23 re the Tamil & Malayalam Station boundary on the Bannerghatta Road.

[G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 17/11/23

Signed by M. K. V. A

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. G 8036

To

The Revenue in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter No G 6674 dated the 29th Oct 1923 in the matter of the report due in respect of the boundary regarding Byappanahalli Manevanthekaval, Bangalore Taluk and to enquire if the Dy Comrs further report has been recd and if so, to request you to forward the same to Govt at an early date.

The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

I have &c

for chief Secy

G 8037 dt 17.11.23.

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Dy Comr, Bangalore Dist, with reference to Comptr's reading with his letter no DE 1180/PW-22/8 the 5th Nov 1923, P.T.O.

for Secy to Govt

W.D.0632 - G.P.B.—15566—11-8-25

17039

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C. No 1177/23-24 Bangalore 24th November 1923
28

Gen 3398

FROM

K. Chandy Esq. B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,

TO

The Chief *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,*
Department

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.8036/P.P.146-19 dated 17th November 1923 calling for the report due in respect of the boundary regarding Byappanahalli Manevarthekaval Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District has reported in his letter No.H.C.233/17-18 dated 7/10th November 1923 that on a further reference to the Collector in the matter a reply has been received from him to the effect that the matter will be placed before the Municipal Commission for decision and the result communicated in due course. The Deputy Commissioner states that he has however requested the Collector to expedite the promised reply. On receipt of the Deputy Commissioner's report the report due to Government will be submitted.

Subd for perusal
Pl. further report promised
30.11.23 Maybe awaited.
Gen 1/12
Yes
Only 1/12

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
K. Chandy
Revenue Commissioner.

G. R. S. VI—G/32].

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Date of issue 14/12/23

Signed by Mr. C.S.K.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 89710

To The Rev. Commr

Sir

with reference to your letter no c 1177/23-24 dt 24/28 th november 1923 re Byatpana-halli in anepalya Haval Blore taluk, I am directed to request that the report promised therein may kindly be forwarded at an early date

I have etc

14/12/23

for Secretary to Govt

over.

20230

Fu 3807

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE.

C. No. 1177/23-24 *Bangalore, 19th December 1923*

1 file to copy

20

PP 146-19-4 &

FROM

K. Chandy, Esq. B. A.,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.



To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No.G.9778 dated 15th December 1923 and in continuation of this office letter No.C.1177/23-24 dated 28th November 1923, regarding the verification of the boundaries of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter No.H.C. 233/19-18 dated 17th December 1923 and its enclosures in original received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, and to state that the President of the Station Municipal Commission accepts the measurements as taken by the Special Surveyor deputed by the Survey Department, but that the Collector wants a revised draft notification for submission to the Hon'ble the Resident.

2. I therefore request that orders of Government may be kindly obtained in the matter.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Chandy

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Urgent

Section P.P.

File No. 146-19

Serial No. 50

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

No. G 10663

Enclosures 1

Date of issue 12/1/24

To

The Rev Court in Mysore

Signed by Mr C.V.K.

Sir,

With reference to your letter no C 1117/23-24 dt the 19th Dec 1923 regarding the boundaries of the C+M station, Bangalore, I am directed to invite your attention to this office letter no 1027/1919 dt the 2nd Sep 1922, forwarding two drafts of notifications proposed to be issued as also the revised draft

over.

R.T.O.

Q in the Cutcherry file

W D 0632 - GPB—1800C—16-8 23

I am amended Schedule
the boundaries of the C & M Sts
which was sent from the Collector,
C & M Sts, Bangalore, and to
request you to have the same fully and accurately
amended in the light of
the Special Surveyor's measurements which are
reported to have been accepted
by the President of the Station
Municipal Commission.
Chart may be sent up to Govt
along with Counsel (Mr) who
will address the Residency in
the matter.

The enclosures sent with
your letter are herewith returned.

I have &c

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by